

Indicator	First marker's name & comments Abiola Otusanya log book seems readable and comprehensive	2nd marker's name & comments: Peter Ogunmuyiwa logbook seems readable and comprehensive
<b>Entries Dated</b> few/none ...most/all	All dated	All dated
<b>Coarse time log</b> minimal regular <i>Is there any evidence of how much time was spent per project, per week?</i>	Logbook shows a good evidence of time spent per project a week	It shows a good evidence of time spent on each project per week
<b>Weekly summary</b> Minimal ..... regular: <i>is there any evidence of an attempt to summarise the weekly activities or achievements?</i>	All entries where accompanied with a good summary	Good summary shown on each week
<b>Plans</b> Minimal ..... regular <i>is there any evidence of plans made for the session or the week?</i>	Plans where clearly stated and fulfilled	Brainstorm where clearly stated and fulfilled
<b>Reflections</b> Meaningless .. meaningful <i>is there any evidence of the authors reflections on how well the work has gone, and what can be done. Also, relating to lectures etc.</i>	Authors reflection where meaningful and show clearly on what can be done to improve the work	Meaningful and also show clearly on what can be done to improve the work
<b>Results</b> Meaningless ... meaningful <i>is there any evidence of results documented in some form?</i>	Results where well tabulated and meaningful	Yes, result where well tabulated and meaningful
<b>References</b> poorly ref'ed ..... correctly ref'ed <i>is there any evidence of references being cited or used, and details of the references used (books, journal, www).</i>	No reference stated.	No references stated
<b>General (main body, diagrams etc)</b> Poor .. .... Comprehensive. <i>is there any evidence of the logbook being a good record of the work done, with good detail, good illustrations, good structure.</i>	Yes logbook shows good evidence of work done and was well detailed	Yes logbook shows a good evidence of learning and well structure. Keep it up.